



THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO

June 1, 2010

Anne Wulftange  
DePratti, Inc., agents for Clearwire  
1465 E. Lexington Ave., 9-C  
El Cajon, CA 92019

Sent via e-mail only to: [anne.wulftange@gmail.com](mailto:anne.wulftange@gmail.com)

Dear Anne Wulftange:

Subject: Clearwire – Holiday Inn – 1st Review Assessment Letter  
Project No. 204787; Internal Order 24000688  
Located at: 9335 Kearny Mesa Rd.

The Development Services Department [DSD] has completed its most recent review of the above-referenced telecom project. In order to expedite the return of DSD's comments to you, this brief cover letter is provided in lieu of a formal Assessment Letter.

Enclosed you will find a Cycle Issues Report, which contains review comments from staff representing various disciplines and outside agencies. Please resubmit your project with the information and the revisions requested in the enclosed Cycle Issues Report as soon as possible to facilitate the most efficient processing of your project.

If additional requirements arise during the subsequent review of your project, DSD will identify the issues and the reasons for the additional requirements. If you resubmit your project but fail to provide the information or make the revisions requested in the enclosed or subsequent Cycle Issues Reports, DSD will continue to process the project; however, the project may be recommended for denial, if the remaining issues cannot be satisfactorily resolved or the appropriate findings for approval cannot be made.

Please be advised of San Diego Municipal Code [SDMC] section 126.0114, which states:

The development permit application file shall be closed if the applicant fails to submit or resubmit requested materials, information, fees, or deposits 90 calendar days from the date the application was deemed complete or the last written request by the City, whichever is later. Once closed, the application, plans and

other data submitted for review may be returned to the applicant or destroyed by the City Manager. To reapply, the applicant shall submit a new development permit application with required submittal materials and shall be subject to all applicable fees and regulations in effect on the date the new application is deemed complete.

To avoid the closure of your application file under SDMC section 126.0114, you must resubmit your project with the information and revisions requested in the enclosed Cycle Issues Report **on or before September 1, 2010**. When you are ready to resubmit your project, please call (619) 446-5300 to make an appointment for a “Discretionary Resubmittal.” Resubmittals may also be done on a walk-in basis, however, you may experience a longer than desirable wait time. In either case, please check in on the third floor of the Development Service Center at 1222 First Avenue, San Diego, CA 92101.

At your appointment, please provide the plans, information, revisions, and/or other documentation requested in the enclosed Cycle Issues Report and Submittal Requirements Report. The plans should be folded to an approximate 8 ½ x 11 inch size.

Before resubmitting your project, please contact me to verify the additional amount of deposits that will be required with the resubmittal.

Please contact me if you have any questions regarding this letter, the information and revisions requested in the enclosed Cycle Issues Report, the plans and documentation listed in the Submittal Requirements Report, and/or any modifications to the project scope. I may be reached by phone at (619) 446-5349 or via e-mail at [ahempton@sandiego.gov](mailto:ahempton@sandiego.gov).

Sincerely,

Alex Hempton, AICP  
Associate Planner

Enclosures:

1. Cycle No. 4 Issues Report
2. Submittal Requirements Report

cc: File



L64A-003A

## Project Information

**Project Nbr:** 204787      **Title:** Clearwire Holiday Inn  
**Project Mgr:** Hempton, Alexander      (619) 446-5349      [Ahempton@sandiego.gov](mailto:Ahempton@sandiego.gov)



## Review Information

<b>Cycle Type:</b> 4 Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	<b>Submitted:</b> 04/29/2010	Deemed Complete on 04/29/2010
<b>Reviewing Discipline:</b> LDR-Planning Review	<b>Cycle Distributed:</b> 04/29/2010	
<b>Reviewer:</b> Hempton, Alexander (619) 446-5349	<b>Assigned:</b> 05/03/2010	
	<b>Started:</b> 05/04/2010	
<b>Hours of Review:</b> 0.50	<b>Review Due:</b> 05/27/2010	
<b>Next Review Method:</b> LDR-Planning Review(Submit)	<b>Completed:</b> 06/01/2010	<b>COMPLETED LATE</b>
	<b>Closed:</b> 06/01/2010	

- . The reviewer has indicated they want to review this project again. Reason chosen by the reviewer: First Review Issues.
- . We request a 3rd complete submittal for LDR-Planning Review on this project as: LDR-Planning Review(Submit).
- . The reviewer has requested more documents be submitted.
- . Your project still has 8 outstanding review issues with LDR-Planning Review (6 of which are new issues).
- . Last month LDR-Planning Review performed 147 reviews, 65.3% were on-time, and 55.8% were on projects at less than < 3 complete submittals.

## Completeness Review

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	1	Two different sets of photo sims appear to have been submitted. Resubmit with one set of photo sims. (From Cycle 1)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	2	This project is located in the CC-1-3 zone and may proceed through the Limited Use approval process*. (*See below issue regarding height, Process 4 PDP may be required.) (From Cycle 1)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	3	Provide a Legal Description on sheet G-001. (From Cycle 1)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	4	Cricket is also located on this building. Update sheet G-001. (From Cycle 1)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	5	The project description states that Sprint Nextel is proposing to upgrade an existing facility, but everything else refers to Clearwire. Revise to remove reference to Sprint/Nextel? (From Cycle 1)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	6	If facility is utilizing Sprint/Nextel facility, provide permit number on plans and a copy of the previously approved plans/approvals. (From Cycle 1)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	7	Provide legal descriptions and easements on sheet A-101. (From Cycle 1)
<input type="checkbox"/>	8	Photo sims indicate that the antennas are located within existing architectural features on the building, however plans show antennas located within boxes mounted on the roof. Design facility to be architecturally integrated with the existing tower structures on the building. Utilize similar architectural features and designs. (From Cycle 1)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	9	The maximum structure height for this zone is 45 feet. The proposed antennas and equipment must be within the existing building structure, otherwise a Planned Development Permit, Process 4 will be required as the project exceeds the allowable height. If a Planned Development Permit is proposed, this project will be closed and will need to be resubmitted as a Process 4 Discretionary project. (From Cycle 1)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	10	Additional comments will be provided. Project may be submitted and deemed complete once above changes are addressed. (From Cycle 1)

## FAA Part 77

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	11	FAA Part 77 Notification is required based on the calculation below:  490 Lowest FAA Part 77 Notification Surface elevation - 510 Highest ground elevation = -20 Maximum Structure Height allowed without requiring FAA notification (From Cycle 1)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	12	The Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) has notified the City that the Airport Land Use Compatibility Plans for all Airports in the City do not include all areas that are subject to Federal notification requirements and structure height limits near airports. (From Cycle 1)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	13	Due to the height and proximity of the proposed project to <AIRPORT NAME>, your project must be submitted to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) for Obstruction Evaluation and Airport Airspace Analysis as required by the Code of Federal Regulations Title 14 Part 77, Subpart B to ensure that the structure will not be an obstruction or hazard to air navigation. The following is a link to the FAA website for submitting projects (form 4760-1) to the FAA: <a href="http://www.oaiaa.faa.gov">www.oaiaa.faa.gov</a> (From Cycle 1)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	14	Provide a Notice of No Hazard from the FAA. (From Cycle 1)

## 1st Review

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
-----------------	------------------	-------------------





L64A-003A

1222 First Avenue, San Diego, CA 92101-4154

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
-----------------	------------------	-------------------

- |                          |    |   |
|--------------------------|----|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> | 18 | The design as submitted does not integrate as well with this building as existing antenna facilities on the building that have been designed to match the building's architecture. Additional information needs to be provided on the plans to identify the color of the proposed antenna/equipment enclosure structures on the roof top. Identify what materials will be utilized. (New Issue)   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | 19 | Wireless facilities shall be concealed or have their visual impacts minimized by utilizing integration techniques. In this case architecture can be used to integrate and screen the facility. The current design shows two 10 x 10 structures on the roof top, but it's unclear how these two rooftop screens integrate with the existing building architecture. Additional design thought needs to be put into this facility to provide a solution that more fully integrates with the building's design. (New Issue) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | 20 | Explore whether the antennas could be located within existing tower elements on the building. (New Issue)   |

**Clarifications**

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
-----------------	------------------	-------------------

- |                          |    |   |
|--------------------------|----|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> | 15 | On the elevations, also provide the AMSL elevations. (New Issue)  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | 16 | Once the AMSL is provided on the elevations, this will be cross referenced with the Determination of No Hazard provided. Copies of the Determination of No Hazard will be requested with the next submittal for other staff reviewer files/reviews. (New Issue) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | 17 | LDR-Planning did not receive copies of the photo sims. Issues related to the photo sims will be cleared with the next submittal, if issues are resolved. (New Issue)  |





L64A-003A

## Review Information

<b>Cycle Type:</b> 4 Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	<b>Submitted:</b> 04/29/2010	Deemed Complete on 04/29/2010
<b>Reviewing Discipline:</b> BDR-Structural	<b>Cycle Distributed:</b> 04/29/2010	
<b>Reviewer:</b> Papuga, Matt (619) 687-5952	<b>Assigned:</b> 04/30/2010	
<b>Hours of Review:</b> 4.00	<b>Started:</b> 05/21/2010	
<b>Next Review Method:</b> Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	<b>Review Due:</b> 05/27/2010	
	<b>Completed:</b> 05/27/2010	<b>COMPLETED ON TIME</b>
	<b>Closed:</b> 06/01/2010	

- . The reviewer has indicated they want to review this project again. Reason chosen by the reviewer: First Review Issues.
- . We request a 2nd complete submittal for BDR-Structural on this project as: Submitted (Multi-Discipline).
- . The reviewer has requested more documents be submitted.
- . Your project still has 9 outstanding review issues with BDR-Structural (all of which are new).
- . Last month BDR-Structural performed 679 reviews, 96.8% were on-time, and 95.3% were on projects at less than < 3 complete submittals.

## New Issue Group (1244499)

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	1	The Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) has notified the City that the Airport Land Use Compatibility Plans for all Airports in the City do not include all areas that are subject to Federal notification requirements and structure height limits near airports. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	2	Due to the height and proximity of the proposed project to <AIRPORT NAME>, your project must be submitted to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) for Obstruction Evaluation and Airport Airspace Analysis as required by the Code of Federal Regulations Title 14 Part 77, Subpart B to ensure that the structure will not be an obstruction or hazard to air navigation. The following is a link to the FAA website for submitting projects (form 4760-1) to the FAA: <a href="http://www.oaaaa.faa.gov">www.oaaaa.faa.gov</a> (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	3	FAA, continued: Also, refer to Information Bulletin 520 for additional and detailed information. You may find this Info Bulletin on the Department web page at <a href="http://www.sandiego.gov/development-services/">http://www.sandiego.gov/development-services/</a> . Look for the 'FAA Notification' tab on the right hand side of the screen. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	4	If you do not have access to the internet for any form or bulletin you can access it from the third floor Customer Self-Help area by selecting <a href="http://www.sandiego.gov/development-services/">http://www.sandiego.gov/development-services/</a> , or you can contact us for a copy. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	5	For No FAA Notification self certification add the following note to the plans with the signature and registration stamp of a licensed architect or engineer: "I _____ do hereby certify that the structure(s) or modification to existing structure(s) shown on these plans do not require Federal Aviation Administration notification because per Section 77.15 (a) of Title 14 of the Code of Federal Regulations CFR Part 77, notification is not required." (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	6	The owner or their authorised agent must fill out the "No Notification Self-Certification Agreement" and present the form at permit issuance. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	7	The owner or their authorised agent must fill out the "No Notification Self-Certification Agreement" and present the form at permit issuance. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	8	Additional work may or may not set off disabled access spending per chapter CBC chapter 11B, section 3411 (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	9	Add structural calcs and structural design details for attachment and roof/wall loads. (New Issue)

## New Issue Group (1244500)





# Cycle Issues

THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO  
Development Services

1222 First Avenue, San Diego, CA 92101-4154

L64A-003A

## Review Information

<b>Cycle Type:</b> 4 Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	<b>Submitted:</b> 04/29/2010	Deemed Complete on 04/29/2010
<b>Reviewing Discipline:</b> LDR-Engineering Review	<b>Cycle Distributed:</b> 04/29/2010	
<b>Reviewer:</b> Ocen, Julius (619) 446-5295	<b>Assigned:</b> 04/30/2010	
<b>Hours of Review:</b> 1.10	<b>Started:</b> 05/24/2010	
<b>Next Review Method:</b> Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	<b>Review Due:</b> 05/27/2010	
	<b>Completed:</b> 05/26/2010	<b>COMPLETED ON TIME</b>
	<b>Closed:</b> 06/01/2010	

- . We request a 2nd complete submittal for LDR-Engineering Review on this project as: Submitted (Multi-Discipline).
- . The reviewer has requested more documents be submitted.
- . Your project still has 1 outstanding review issues with LDR-Engineering Review (all of which are new).
- . Last month LDR-Engineering Review performed 83 reviews, 95.2% were on-time, and 48.1% were on projects at less than < 3 complete submittals.

## 1st Review Issues

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
-----------------	------------------	-------------------

<input type="checkbox"/>	1	Engineering Review has no issues since all work shall be on the rooftop. Please add the following note to the plans.
--------------------------	---	--

1. This project provides for rooftop installation only and no trenching is included.

(New Issue)





# Cycle Issues

THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO  
Development Services

1222 First Avenue, San Diego, CA 92101-4154

L64A-003A

## Review Information

<b>Cycle Type:</b> 4 Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	<b>Submitted:</b> 04/29/2010	Deemed Complete on 04/29/2010
<b>Reviewing Discipline:</b> LDR-Environmental	<b>Cycle Distributed:</b> 04/29/2010	
<b>Reviewer:</b> Benally, Rhonda (619) 446-5468	<b>Assigned:</b> 04/30/2010	
<b>Hours of Review:</b> 0.00	<b>Started:</b> 05/20/2010	
<b>Next Review Method:</b> Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	<b>Review Due:</b> 05/27/2010	
	<b>Completed:</b> 05/27/2010	<b>COMPLETED ON TIME</b>
	<b>Closed:</b> 06/01/2010	

- . The reviewer has indicated they want to review this project again. Reason chosen by the reviewer: Partial Response to Cmnts/Regs.
- . We request a 2nd complete submittal for LDR-Environmental on this project as: Submitted (Multi-Discipline).
- . The reviewer has requested more documents be submitted.
- . Your project still has 11 outstanding review issues with LDR-Environmental (all of which are new).
- . Last month LDR-Environmental performed 89 reviews, 47.2% were on-time, and 61.6% were on projects at less than < 3 complete submittals.

## Review 5/27/2010

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
-----------------	------------------	-------------------

<input type="checkbox"/>	1	Project Scope:
--------------------------	---	----------------

The project proposes a Planned Development Permit (PDP) for a Process 4 approval for a wireless communication facility (WCF) consisting of roof-mounted antennas with roof-mounted equipment. The project is located at 9335 Kearny Mesa Road in the CC-1-3 zone of the Mira Mesa Community Plan. (New Issue)

## Project Issues:

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
-----------------	------------------	-------------------

<input type="checkbox"/>	2	The Environmental Analysis Section (EAS) has reviewed the project in accordance with the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA). EAS has determined that additional information is required to determine if Health and Safety, Greenhouse Gas Emissions, and Visual Quality impacts would be significant. (New Issue)
--------------------------	---	--

## Health and Safety

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
-----------------	------------------	-------------------

<input type="checkbox"/>	3	The project is located within the Airport Environs Overlay Zone, Overflight Notification Area for MCAS Miramar, Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) Part 77 Notification Area for MCAS Miramar, Airport Influence Area Review Area 1 for MCAS Miramar as depicted in the adopted 2008 Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan (ALUCP), and the 65-70 dB Community Noise Equivalent Level (CNEL) noise contours for MCAS Miramar. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	4	(Continued)

Refer to LDR-Planning, Plan-Airport and BDR-Structural if an FAA Determination and/or a consistency determination by the San Diego County Regional Airport Authority, serving as the Airport Land Use Commission (ALUC) are required. If an FAA Determination and/or consistency determination is required please provide a copy to EAS. (New Issue)

## Visual Quality

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
-----------------	------------------	-------------------

<input type="checkbox"/>	5	LDR-Planning has requested resubmittal of the photosimulations. The City of San Diego's CEQA Significance Determination Thresholds states that if a project is located in a highly visible area and would strongly contrast with the surrounding development or natural topography through excessive height, bulk, signage, or architectural projects then the project could be significant visual quality impact. (New Issue)
<input type="checkbox"/>	6	(Continued)

Please provide a copy of photosimulations as requested by LDR-Planning to EAS staff in the next submittal. (New Issue)

## Greenhouse Gas Emissions

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
-----------------	------------------	-------------------

<input type="checkbox"/>	7	Climate change refers to any significant change in measures of climate, such as average temperature, precipitation, or wind patterns over a period of time. Climate change may result from natural factors, natural processes, and human activities that change the composition of the atmosphere and alter the surface and features of the land. (New Issue)
--------------------------	---	---





L64A-003A

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
-----------------	------------------	-------------------

<input type="checkbox"/>	8	(Continued)
--------------------------	---	-------------

Significant changes in global climate patterns have recently been associated with global warming, an average increase in the temperature of the atmosphere near the Earth's surface, attributed to accumulation of Green House gases (GHG) emissions in the atmosphere. Some GHGs occur naturally and are emitted to the atmosphere through natural processes, while others are created and emitted solely through human activities.

(New Issue)

<input type="checkbox"/>	9	(Continued)
--------------------------	---	-------------

The emission of GHGs through the combustion of fossil fuels (i.e., fuels containing carbon) in conjunction with other human-activities, appears to be closely associated with global warming. Assembly Bill 32, the California Global Warming Solutions Act established a state goal of reducing GHG emissions to 1990 levels by the year 2020 (a reduction of approximately 25 percent from forecast emission levels); SB 97, a "companion" bill directed amendments to CEQA statute to specifically establish that GHG emissions and their impacts are appropriate subjects for CEQA analysis. (New Issue)

<input type="checkbox"/>	10	(Continued)
--------------------------	----	-------------

Please provide a focused air quality report that analyzes the GH emission resulted from construction related activities and operation of the project. The analysis should include, but is not limited to, emissions generated from vehicular traffic, generation of electricity, natural gas consumption/combustion, solid waste generation, and water usage and any required mitigation. (New Issue)

**New Issue Group (1244839)**

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
-----------------	------------------	-------------------

<input type="checkbox"/>	11	Please note should the project be redesigned and/or the project changes then EAS would need to review the project. (New Issue)
--------------------------	----	--





L64A-003A

## Review Information

<b>Cycle Type:</b> 4 Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	<b>Submitted:</b> 04/29/2010	Deemed Complete on 04/29/2010
<b>Reviewing Discipline:</b> Plan-Airport	<b>Cycle Distributed:</b> 04/29/2010	
<b>Reviewer:</b> Galloway, Tait (619) 533-4550	<b>Assigned:</b> 04/30/2010	
<b>Hours of Review:</b> 0.20	<b>Started:</b> 05/03/2010	
<b>Next Review Method:</b> Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	<b>Review Due:</b> 05/27/2010	
	<b>Completed:</b> 05/03/2010	<b>COMPLETED ON TIME</b>
	<b>Closed:</b> 06/01/2010	

- . We request a 2nd complete submittal for Plan-Airport on this project as: Submitted (Multi-Discipline).
- . The reviewer has requested more documents be submitted.
- . Last month Plan-Airport performed 6 reviews, 100.0% were on-time, and 40.0% were on projects at less than < 3 complete submittals.

## ALUCP 1st Review

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1	ALUCP: The proposed antenna and related equipment project is located in the Airport Influence Area (AIA) Review Area 1 for MCAS Miramar as depicted in the adopted 2008 Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan (ALUCP). (New Issue)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	2	AIRSPACE Part 77: The proposed antenna and screening do not increase the maximum height of the existing building; therefore, the applicant is not required to provide notification to the FAA for an airspace evaluation (New Issue)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	3	CONSISTENCY DETERMINATION: the City is NOT required to submit the proposed project to the San Diego County Regional Airport Authority, serving as the Airport Land Use Commission for a consistency determination with the adopted ALUCP for MCAS Miramar since the project is not proposing to increase residential density or non-residential intensity and does not require a FAA Part 77 determination. (New Issue)





# Cycle Issues

THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO  
Development Services  
1222 First Avenue, San Diego, CA 92101-4154

L64A-003A

## Review Information

<b>Cycle Type:</b> 4 Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	<b>Submitted:</b> 04/29/2010	Deemed Complete on 04/29/2010
<b>Reviewing Discipline:</b> Community Planning Group	<b>Cycle Distributed:</b> 04/29/2010	
<b>Reviewer:</b> Hempton, Alexander (619) 446-5349	<b>Assigned:</b> 05/03/2010	
<b>Hours of Review:</b> 0.25	<b>Started:</b> 05/04/2010	
<b>Next Review Method:</b> Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	<b>Review Due:</b> 05/13/2010	
	<b>Completed:</b> 06/01/2010	<b>COMPLETED LATE</b>
	<b>Closed:</b> 06/01/2010	

- . The review due date was changed to 05/27/2010 from 05/27/2010 per agreement with customer.
- . The reviewer has indicated they want to review this project again. Reason chosen by the reviewer: First Review Issues.
- . We request a 2nd complete submittal for Community Planning Group on this project as: Submitted (Multi-Discipline).
- . The reviewer has requested more documents be submitted.
- . Your project still has 1 outstanding review issues with Community Planning Group (all of which are new).
- . Last month Community Planning Group performed 67 reviews, 38.8% were on-time, and 40.9% were on projects at less than < 3 complete submittals.

## Recommendation

<u>Cleared?</u>	<u>Issue Num</u>	<u>Issue Text</u>
-----------------	------------------	-------------------

<input type="checkbox"/>	1	Please present this project to the Mira Mesa CPG to receive a recommendation.
--------------------------	---	---

MIRA MESA COMMUNITY PLANNING GROUP

LINDA GELDNER, CHAIR

7830 NORCANYON WAY  
SAN DIEGO, CA 92126

(858) 578-1076 (H)

planning@geldner.com

[http://www.miramesatowncouncil.org/planning\\_group.htm](http://www.miramesatowncouncil.org/planning_group.htm) (New Issue)





# Cycle Issues

THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO  
Development Services

1222 First Avenue, San Diego, CA 92101-4154

L64A-003A

## Review Information

<b>Cycle Type:</b> 4 Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	<b>Submitted:</b> 04/29/2010	Deemed Complete on 04/29/2010
<b>Reviewing Discipline:</b> ITC-Communications	<b>Cycle Distributed:</b> 04/29/2010	
<b>Reviewer:</b> Hempton, Alexander (619) 446-5349	<b>Assigned:</b> 05/03/2010	
<b>Hours of Review:</b> 0.20	<b>Started:</b> 05/04/2010	
<b>Next Review Method:</b> Submitted (Multi-Discipline)	<b>Review Due:</b> 05/27/2010	
	<b>Completed:</b> 06/01/2010	<b>COMPLETED LATE</b>
	<b>Closed:</b> 06/01/2010	

- . We request a 3rd complete submittal for ITC-Communications on this project as: Submitted (Multi-Discipline).
- . The reviewer has requested more documents be submitted.
- . Last month ITC-Communications performed 27 reviews, 48.1% were on-time, and 88.9% were on projects at less than < 3 complete submittals.

**No issues**





# Submittal Requirements

6/1/10 5:09 pm

THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO  
Development Services  
1222 First Avenue, San Diego, CA 92101-4154

Page 1 of 1

L64A-001

## Project Information

**Project Nbr:** 204787      **Title:** Clearwire Holiday Inn  
**Project Mgr:** Hempton, Alexander      (619)446-5349      Ahempton@sandiego.gov



## Review Cycle Information

**Review Cycle:** 6 Submitted (Multi-Discipline)

**Opened:** 06/01/2010 5:03 pm

**Submitted:**

**Due:**

**Closed:**

## Required Documents:

<u>Package Type</u>	<u>Pkg Qty</u>	<u>Document Type</u>	<u>Qty Needed</u>
Photo Simulations	5	Photo Simulations	5
Dev. Plans reduced 11x17	6	Dev. Plans reduced 11x17	6
Noticing	1	Supplemental Discretionary Application (DS-3035)	1
Noticing	1	Public Notice Package	1
Project File	4	General Application (DS-3032)	4
Project File	4	FAA Determination of No Hazard	4
Applicant Correspondence	6	Applicant Correspondence	6

